

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/808,388	FINK, STEVEN T.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rudy Zervigon	1763	

All Participants:

 (1) Rudy Zervigon.

 (2) Lee L. Stepina.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 16 August 2007
Time: 15:00
Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

22, 26

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called to request an Examiner's amendment consistent with January 31st interview. Mr. Stepina said he would confirm the amendment with Applicant and call back with an answer. Mr. Stepina called the following day authorizing the amendment..